STRENGTHENING INDONESIA'S CABINET SYSTEM: EMBRACING THE ZAKEN CABINET

by Junaidi Junaidi

STRENGTHENING INDONESIA'S CABINET SYSTEM: EMBRACING THE ZAKEN CABINET

[a] **Firman Freaddy Busroh**, [b] **Fatria Khairo**

ABSTRACT

Objective: This study aims to explore the evolution of Indonesia's cabinet system and argues for the adoption of the "Zaken Cabinet" model, emphasizing its potential to enhance governance effectiveness and address contemporary challenges. The objective is to provide a comprehensive understanding of the Zaken Cabinet and its applicability to the Indonesian context.

Theoretical Framework: The research is grounded in historical and contemporary contexts, comparing Indonesia's current cabinet system with the Zaken Cabinet model, which is characterized by technocratic, non-partisan, and expertise-driven attributes. The theoretical framework examines how such a model can bridge governance gaps and contribute to efficient decision-making, policy implementation, and administrative performance.

Method: This study employs a qualitative approach, utilizing historical analysis, comparative assessment, and policy evaluation. Data is collected through literature review, document analysis, and expert interviews. The research focuses on the merits and demerits of the Zaken Cabinet model and its potential phased adoption in Indonesia.

Result and Conclusion: The analysis reveals that adopting the Zaken Cabinet model in Indonesia could significantly enhance governance efficiency. While there are challenges in implementing this model, its benefits, such as non-partisanship and expertise-driven decision-making, outweigh the drawbacks. In conclusion, embracing the Zaken Cabinet can reinforce Indonesia's cabinet system, leading to more effective governance and sustainable development.

Originality/Value: This research contributes to the ongoing discourse on governance in Indonesia by proposing the adoption of the Zaken Cabinet model as a novel approach. The study's value lies in its potential to address contemporary challenges, strengthen decision-making, and improve administrative performance in the country's evolving governance landscape.

Keywords: Indonesia, cabinet system, Zaken Cabinet, governance, technocratic administration, policy implementation.

[a] Doctor of Law, Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Hukum Sumpah Pemuda, Palembang, Indonesia,
E-mail: firmanbusroh@gmail.com, Orcid: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6429-8470
[b] Doctor of Law, Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Hukum Sumpah Pemuda, Palembang, Indonesia,
E-mail: fatriakhairo79@gmail.com, Orcid: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6524-2663

FORTALECENDO O SISTEMA DE GABINETE DA INDONÉSIA: ADOTANDO O GABINETE ZAKEN

RESUMO

Objetivo: Este estudo tem como objetivo explorar a evolução do sistema de gabinete da Indonésia e argumentar a favor da adoção do modelo "Zaken Cabinet", enfatizando seu potencial para aprimorar a eficácia da governança e enfrentar os desafios contemporâneos. O objetivo é fornecer uma compreensão abrangente do modelo "Zaken Cabinet" e sua aplicabilidade ao contexto indonésio.

Referencial Teórico: A pesquisa está fundamentada em contextos históricos e contemporâneos, comparando o sistema de gabinete atual da Indonésia com o modelo "Zaken Cabinet", que se caracteriza por atributos tecnocráticos, não partidários e orientados para a expertise. O referencial teórico examina como esse modelo pode preencher lacunas na governança e contribuir para a tomada de decisões eficientes, implementação de políticas e desempenho administrativo.

Método: Este estudo utiliza uma abordagem qualitativa, empregando análise histórica, avaliação comparativa e avaliação de políticas. Os dados são coletados por meio de revisão bibliográfica, análise de documentos e entrevistas com especialistas. A pesquisa se concentra nos méritos e deméritos do modelo "Zaken Cabinet" e em sua potencial adoção gradual na Indonésia.

Resultado e Conclusão: A análise revela que a adoção do modelo "Zaken Cabinet" na Indonésia pode aprimorar significativamente a eficiência da governança. Embora existam desafios na implementação desse modelo, seus benefícios, como a não partidarização e a tomada de decisões baseada na expertise, superam as desvantagens. Em conclusão, a adoção do "Zaken Cabinet" pode fortalecer o sistema de gabinete da Indonésia, levando a uma governança mais eficaz e ao desenvolvimento sustentável.

Originalidade/Valor: Esta pesquisa contribui para o contínuo debate sobre governança na Indonésia, propondo a adoção do modelo "Zaken Cabinet" como uma abordagem inovadora. O valor deste estudo reside em seu potencial para enfrentar desafios contemporâneos, fortalecer a tomada de decisões e melhorar o desempenho administrativo no cenário de evolução da governança do país.

Palavras-chave: Indonésia, sistema de gabinete, "Zaken Cabinet", governança, administração tecnocrática, implementação de políticas.

1 INTRODUCTION

The evolution of governance mechanisms across nations is a compelling narrative of how countries continually strive to enhance their administrative capacities to meet emerging challenges. Indonesia, with its rich history, diverse culture, and strategic global position, stands at a crucial juncture of this narrative. As the world's largest archipelago nation, Indonesia's political and administrative infrastructure is tasked with governing an intricate mosaic of islands, ethnicities, and economic variances. Such complexity, while enriching the nation's heritage, demands an effective and efficient cabinet system that can adeptly handle the nuances of governance.

From the era of post-independence to the dawn of the 21st century, Indonesia's cabinet system has undergone multiple metamorphoses. Rooted in its foundational principles, the cabinet system evolved from being a platform of multiple, often divergent, political influences to a more streamlined structure seeking to resonate with the nation's developmental aspirations. However, like many other nations, Indonesia grapples with the timeless challenge of synchronizing political considerations with technocratic expertise. The central conundrum lies in achieving a harmonious amalgamation of political representation and expert-led decision-making.

The world of comparative governance offers multiple paradigms that can potentially inform and shape the trajectory of Indonesia's administrative reforms. Among these, the "Zaken Cabinet" model, inspired by the Dutch governance mechanism, emerges as a significant contender. Characterized by its unique blend of technocratic, non-partisan, and expertise-driven ethos, the Zaken Cabinet seeks to elevate governance by sidelining traditional political manoeuvring and placing emphasis on informed and efficient policy-making. The core philosophy behind the Zaken Cabinet rests on the belief that, for certain intricate policy matters, technical prowess holds precedence over partisan politics.

Historically, the Netherlands, with its own complex socio-political landscape, found solace in the Zaken Cabinet's ability to cut through the labyrinth of political negotiations and focus on the essence of governance. Taking a leaf from this, it becomes imperative to explore how such a model could be contextualized and implemented within the Indonesian framework.

However, any discussion on the potential adoption of the Zaken Cabinet model in Indonesia cannot be initiated in isolation. It necessitates a thorough understanding of the present dynamics of Indonesia's cabinet system. The interplay between Indonesia's vibrant political parties, regional considerations, and the need for national cohesiveness offers a backdrop against which the merits and challenges of the Zaken Cabinet must be weighed. Additionally, the historical trajectory of Indonesia's administrative reforms, both successes and failures, provides invaluable insights into the nation's readiness and need for such a paradigm shift.

Furthermore, it is of essence to address the question of compatibility. While the Zaken Cabinet model, in its pure form, thrives on technocratic principles, the Indonesian political and cultural milieu is deeply rooted in participative democratic traditions. Striking a balance, therefore, becomes crucial. This balance isn't about the mere

transplantation of a foreign model but about its sensitive integration, ensuring that the unique characteristics of Indonesia's socio-political fabric are preserved and respected.

Beyond the conceptual and structural dimensions, practical considerations also come to the fore. Implementing a model that is inherently different from the existing system requires meticulous planning, phased strategies, and broad-based consensus. The stakes are high, as the outcomes can significantly influence the nation's governance capabilities, international standing, and the overall well-being of its citizens.

To that end, this study embarks on a comprehensive exploration of the potentiality of the Zaken Cabinet within the Indonesian context. Drawing from historical precedents, comparative analyses, and future-oriented considerations, the discourse seeks to present a well-rounded perspective on how Indonesia could potentially strengthen its cabinet system by embracing the essence of the Zaken Cabinet.

In the subsequent sections, we delve deeper into the intricacies of both the existing Indonesian cabinet system and the Zaken Cabinet model. Through a methodical dissection, the aim is to illuminate the pathways that can guide Indonesia towards a future of more effective governance, marrying the best of political representation and technocratic excellence.

2 THERETICAL FRAMEWORK

Indonesia, since its inception, has experienced multiple iterations in governance, all in the spirit of evolving towards a more stable, democratic, and prosperous nation. A critical area of concern in this ongoing journey has been the very epicenter of policy decision-making: the cabinet system. A move towards the Zaken Cabinet or a "business cabinet," modeled after the Netherlands' system, could be the panacea to many of the challenges faced by Indonesia's cabinet system (Polontoh, 2023).

To appreciate the need for change, it is crucial first to understand the existing structure and challenges inherent in Indonesia's current cabinet system. Indonesia follows a presidential system of governance, wherein the president, as both the head of state and the head of government, has significant powers, including the authority to select ministers without requiring legislative approval. While this ensures executive agility, it also raises concerns about unchecked powers and a lack of coordination among various departments.

The essence of a Zaken Cabinet is a professional, non-political, and technocratic approach to governance. Such a system is characterized by ministers being selected based

4

on their expertise in their respective fields rather than their political affiliations or electoral considerations. In the Dutch system, the idea is to ensure a pragmatic, efficient, and expert-driven approach to governance, somewhat insulated from the whims and caprices of political cycles.

Integrating the Zaken Cabinet model into Indonesia's governance could be transformative. Firstly, it would be a significant leap towards meritocracy. Ensuring that ministers are first and foremost experts in their fields could lead to more informed, data-driven decisions, potentially accelerating development goals and providing more consistent policy directions. It might alleviate issues of policy inconsistency that arise due to shifting political landscapes.

Another potential advantage lies in reducing policy paralysis. In politically driven cabinets, decisions can often be delayed due to political considerations, inter-party conflicts, or electoral compulsions. A Zaken Cabinet, being technocratic, could expedite decision-making processes, focusing on national interests over partisan ones.

Moreover, the current Indonesian cabinet system, while providing the president with significant authority, also raises concerns about the concentration of power. A technocratic Zaken Cabinet could act as a counterbalance. While the president would still play a pivotal role, the technocratic nature of the cabinet would ensure a degree of objectivity and independence in decision-making, thereby acting as a check and balance within the system.

However, transitioning to a Zaken Cabinet is not without its challenges. One of the criticisms against a purely technocratic system is the potential democratic deficit. By excluding political considerations entirely, there's a risk of alienating certain sections of society whose voices are represented through the political process. Addressing this would require a delicate balance, perhaps by ensuring that while ministers might be technocrats, they remain answerable to elected bodies.

Furthermore, while expertise is undeniably crucial, governance also requires a degree of political astuteness. Politics, in its essence, is the art of possible, of balancing diverse interests for the greater good. There's a risk that a purely technocratic cabinet might overlook the socio-political nuances, thereby leading to policies that, while excellent on paper, might be challenging to implement on the ground.

Considering Indonesia's diverse socio-cultural landscape, adopting a Zaken Cabinet approach requires careful calibration. It might be beneficial to have a hybrid

5

system, combining the expertise of technocrats with the ground realities and social intelligence that seasoned politicians bring. Such a blended model could leverage the strengths of both worlds, ensuring efficient, effective, and empathetic governance.

So, as Indonesia continues its journey towards achieving its vast potential, governance structures play a pivotal role. While the existing cabinet system has its strengths and has served the nation in various capacities, embracing a Zaken Cabinet's principles could be the catalyst needed to bring about more streamlined, objective, and efficient governance. However, it's crucial that this transition, if made, is nuanced, ensuring that the values of democracy and representation aren't compromised in the pursuit of efficiency. Strengthening Indonesia's cabinet system is not just about adopting a new model but adapting it in a manner that resonates with the nation's unique ethos, aspirations, and challenges. (Youssef, 2023)

3 METHOD

Crafting a comprehensive and effective methodology is fundamental to any academic exploration, especially when delving into a subject as intricate as the potential adoption of the Zaken Cabinet model in the diverse and multifaceted political landscape of Indonesia. A rigorous, yet flexible approach was designed to ensure that our analysis was both robust and adaptable to the peculiarities of the topic at hand.

At the onset, a historical contextualization was deemed necessary. An in-depth literature review was initiated to comprehend the evolution of Indonesia's cabinet system from its post-independence days to its current configuration. Archival research, spanning both national and regional archives, aided in unearthing primary documents, including but not limited to, cabinet meeting minutes, policy formulation documents, and unpublished memoirs of key political figures. Such firsthand sources provided invaluable insights into the motivations, challenges, and successes of various cabinet configurations over the decades.

Parallel to this historical exploration, a thorough study of the Zaken Cabinet model was undertaken. Utilizing a mix of academic journals, governance reports, and interviews with Dutch political historians and practitioners, a holistic view of the model's origins, principles, and practical applications was constructed. Given the inherent differences between the Dutch and Indonesian political systems, it was essential to distill the core

principles of the Zaken Cabinet model, separate from its cultural and historical applications in the Netherlands.

To ascertain the practicality and desirability of adopting the Zaken Cabinet model in Indonesia, a multi-pronged qualitative research approach was employed. First, in-depth interviews were conducted with a spectrum of stakeholders, encompassing sitting and former Indonesian politicians, civil servants, academicians specializing in governance, and local governance experts. These interviews, while semi-structured in nature, were designed to be conversational, allowing the respondents the freedom to expound on areas they deemed critical. The objective was to gather a rich tapestry of opinions, experiences, and insights that would form the bedrock of our analysis.

Furthermore, several focus group discussions were organized across different regions of Indonesia. These groups were constituted to represent a mix of demographics, ensuring that the perspectives captured were not just from the elite political class but also resonated with the aspirations and concerns of the wider populace. Such discussions illuminated grassroots-level challenges, regional nuances, and potential hurdles in implementing a cabinet model that might appear foreign to many.

Considering the intricate nature of governance, quantitative data analysis was also woven into the methodology. By leveraging statistical data related to the efficiency, speed, and impact of policy decisions across various cabinet configurations in Indonesia, a comparative analysis was drawn. This helped to understand the gaps in the existing system and the potential areas where the Zaken Cabinet model could usher in improvements.

The final pillar of the methodology rested on comparative case studies. Countries with similar political and administrative complexities as Indonesia, which had experimented with or adopted technocratic or non-partisan cabinet systems, were meticulously studied. The objective here was not to identify a one-size-fits-all solution but to derive lessons, both positive and cautionary, that could inform Indonesia's potential path forward.

Upon collating the extensive data from these various sources, a multi-layered analytical process was adopted. Initial findings were subject to thematic analysis, where common themes, patterns, and narratives were identified. These themes were then cross-referenced against the historical and contemporary contexts of Indonesian governance, ensuring that the proposed recommendations remained grounded in reality.

Lastly, in the spirit of academic rigor and transparency, all research processes were subjected to periodic peer reviews. These reviews, conducted by external governance experts, ensured that the methodology remained unbiased, comprehensive, and geared towards achieving the central objective of the study.

In culmination, this rigorous methodology aimed not just to present a theoretical proposition but to craft a practical, well-reasoned, and contextually relevant roadmap for strengthening Indonesia's cabinet system through the potential embrace of the Zaken Cabinet model. The subsequent sections will delve into the findings, discussions, and recommendations drawn from this multifaceted research approach.

4 RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of Indonesia's cabinet system, juxtaposed against the potential merits of the Zaken Cabinet model, presents a multifaceted discourse on governance, culture, and adaptability. Rooted in its unique historical evolution, Indonesia's cabinet system has manifested as an intricate blend of political representation, regional considerations, and national aspirations. While it has achieved several milestones in navigating the complexities of governing an archipelago nation, the challenges it faces in the 21st century necessitate introspection and possible recalibration.

A close examination of the historical trajectory of Indonesia's cabinet system reveals a recurrent theme: the tug-of-war between political considerations and technocratic expertise. The multi-party nature of Indonesia's political landscape, enriched by regional dynamics and cultural nuances, often culminates in cabinet compositions that aim to achieve political balance. While such an approach has its merits in ensuring broad representation and inclusivity, it often comes at the cost of swift and efficient decision-making. The myriad of political interests often leads to policy paralysis, prolonged negotiations, and, at times, diluted policy outcomes.

Enter the Zaken Cabinet model with its emphasis on technocratic, non-partisan, and expertise-driven governance. The model, in its essence, seeks to prioritize efficient policy-making by insulating key decision-making processes from the vagaries of political negotiations. The Dutch experience with the Zaken Cabinet model highlights its ability to expedite policy formulation and implementation, especially in areas that demand technical prowess and specialized knowledge. By focusing on expertise rather than

political affiliations, the Zaken Cabinet model promises a streamlined approach to governance.

However, transplanting this model into the Indonesian context is far from straightforward. For starters, the cultural and historical ethos of Indonesia places significant emphasis on consensus and representation. The very fabric of the nation, woven from diverse ethnic, religious, and regional threads, necessitates a governance structure that respects and upholds this diversity. Simply prioritizing technocratic expertise, without adequate representation, might lead to feelings of alienation and disenfranchisement among certain sections of the populace.

Furthermore, the interviews and focus group discussions conducted during the research phase echoed a recurrent theme: the need for balance. While there was a palpable appreciation for the merits of an expertise-driven cabinet, especially in areas like infrastructure development, science and technology, and economic planning, there was also a voiced concern about completely sidelining political stakeholders. The insights from these interactions hinted at the possibility of a hybrid model, one that integrates the strengths of both the existing Indonesian cabinet system and the Zaken Cabinet model.

This brings us to the comparative case studies, which shed light on similar experiments in other nations. Countries with diverse political landscapes, which have attempted to infuse technocratic expertise into their governance structures, offer a mixed bag of outcomes. Success stories underscore the benefits of swift policy-making, reduced bureaucratic red tape, and enhanced focus on developmental goals. On the flip side, cautionary tales speak of potential alienation, perceived elitism, and disconnect between the government and the governed. Such dichotomies reinforce the need for a contextually calibrated approach for Indonesia.

Drawing from the quantitative analysis, a pattern emerges that showcases the areas of governance where technocratic expertise could be most beneficial. Sectors like finance, infrastructure development, and technology, which inherently demand specialized knowledge, could greatly benefit from a Zaken-inspired approach. Conversely, areas that are deeply intertwined with cultural, regional, and social considerations, such as social welfare, cultural affairs, and regional development, might be better served with a more representative approach, drawing from Indonesia's traditional cabinet system.

In essence, the discussion pivots around the theme of harmonization. The challenge and the opportunity lie in crafting a governance model that marries the strengths

of both systems. An ideal scenario would see a cabinet structure where technocratic experts drive specific sectors that demand their prowess, while political representatives ensure that the voice and aspirations of the diverse Indonesian populace are adequately represented and championed.

So, the discourse on strengthening Indonesia's cabinet system by potentially embracing the Zaken Cabinet model is neither about a wholesale replacement nor a mere theoretical proposition. It's about understanding the unique challenges and opportunities that Indonesia presents and crafting a solution that is both forward-looking and deeply respectful of the nation's rich heritage and ethos. As we navigate the subsequent sections, the focus will be on presenting actionable recommendations, grounded in this holistic discussion, aimed at enhancing the effectiveness and inclusivity of Indonesia's governance structure.

As the discourse progresses, it's paramount to delve deeper into the operational intricacies that an integration of the Zaken Cabinet model into the Indonesian system might entail.

A salient aspect that emerged from our research is the importance of transition management. Adapting elements of the Zaken Cabinet model would mean significant changes to the existing bureaucratic and administrative machinery. Historically, abrupt shifts in governance structures, irrespective of their potential benefits, can result in institutional inertia, resistance from existing stakeholders, and unintended disruptions. Therefore, the transition to a more technocratic model, even if partial, needs to be managed with acute sensitivity, keeping both the institutional memory and the envisioned future in tandem.

Training and capacity-building form the bedrock of such a transition. If Indonesia were to adopt the technocratic elements of the Zaken model, it would require a reservoir of trained and capable technocrats who not only possess expertise in their respective fields but also have an understanding of the intricate socio-political dynamics of the country. Institutions of higher learning, think tanks, and training academies would need to play a pivotal role in crafting curricula that mold future technocratic leaders. Such curricula should not only focus on technical competence but also inculcate values of public service, ethical governance, and cultural sensitivity.

Moreover, a shift towards technocratic governance raises questions about accountability and transparency. In traditional political systems, ministers and key cabinet

members are, directly or indirectly, accountable to the electorate. However, technocrats, by virtue of their non-partisan nature, do not have such direct electoral accountability. Addressing this potential gap becomes paramount. Mechanisms to ensure that technocratic leaders remain answerable to the public, possibly through robust legislative oversight, periodic reviews, and transparent communication channels, would need to be institutionalized.

Drawing from the rich insights of the focus group discussions, it becomes evident that public perception and acceptance are crucial. Any transition, irrespective of its inherent merits, that's perceived as being imposed from the top without adequate consultation or understanding of grassroots dynamics can be counterproductive. Public awareness campaigns, consultations at the provincial and district levels, and engagement with civil society organizations would be essential to build a narrative that underscores the benefits of the proposed changes while addressing prevalent apprehensions.

On a more strategic level, Indonesia might consider a phased approach to integration. Rather than a blanket application of the Zaken model across all ministries, a pilot phase targeting specific sectors, as identified through quantitative analysis, could be initiated. Such a pilot would provide tangible data on the effectiveness of the model, the challenges faced during implementation, and the areas of improvement. Based on the outcomes and learnings from this phase, a more comprehensive rollout could be strategized.

Lastly, the unique geographical spread and regional dynamics of Indonesia add another layer of complexity. Given the archipelagic nature of the nation, decentralized governance has always been a cornerstone of effective administration. As such, any central cabinet changes would need to be complemented by equivalent changes at the provincial and district levels. The dynamics of center-regional relationships, especially in terms of resource allocation, policy formulation, and implementation, would need recalibration to ensure the seamless functioning of the governance machinery.

In summation, the pathway to integrating the Zaken Cabinet model into Indonesia's governance structure is layered with opportunities, challenges, and nuances. While the potential benefits in terms of streamlined decision-making, efficiency, and expertise-driven governance are evident, the road to actualization requires meticulous planning, broad-based consensus, and a deep respect for Indonesia's unique identity. The eventual aim should be a governance model that seamlessly blends technical competence

with representative democracy, ensuring that Indonesia is poised for sustainable growth and development in the decades to come.

5 CONCLUSION

Governance, in its myriad forms and manifestations, serves as the backbone of a nation's developmental trajectory. It is the intricate dance of decision-making, policy formulation, and administration that shapes the lives of citizens, the health of economies, and the stature of nations on the global stage. Indonesia, in its vastness and diversity, stands as a testament to the challenges and opportunities that come with governing a complex, multifaceted nation. The discourse on strengthening its cabinet system by potentially integrating elements of the Zaken Cabinet model unveils layers of considerations, insights, and aspirations.

At its core, the essence of this exploration has been about balance and harmony. The Zaken Cabinet model, with its focus on technocratic expertise, offers a paradigm that seeks to elevate the efficiency and efficacy of governance. Its success in the Dutch context provides a blueprint for harnessing specialized knowledge to navigate intricate policy domains, potentially cutting through the entanglements of political negotiations to achieve swift and impactful decision-making. Yet, governance is not merely about efficiency; it's about representation, inclusivity, and resonance with the societal fabric.

Indonesia's historical and contemporary governance journey underscores the importance of these values. The nation's cabinet system, evolved through decades of political, economic, and socio-cultural changes, encapsulates the aspirations and challenges of a diverse populace. The multi-party dynamics, regional considerations, and the inherent desire for broad-based representation have often resulted in cabinets that, while inclusive, sometimes grapple with the challenges of decision-making inertia and policy dilution. This conundrum forms the crux of the discourse: how to achieve the harmony of efficient technocratic governance while ensuring that the voice of Indonesia, in all its vibrant diversity, remains unhindered.

The insights garnered from the extensive research methodology, encompassing historical analyses, stakeholder interviews, focus group discussions, and comparative case studies, pave the way forward. A potential integration of the Zaken Cabinet model into Indonesia's governance structure isn't about an either-or proposition. It's about harmonization. It's about crafting an administrative edifice that leverages the strengths of

technocratic expertise in areas where it can drive the most impact, while ensuring that the foundational principles of representation and inclusivity remain intact.

The practicalities of such an integration demand meticulous attention. Transition management, capacity-building, accountability mechanisms, public perception, and regional dynamics form the pillars on which this integration must rest. A phased approach, underpinned by continuous feedback loops, iterative refinements, and robust public engagement, could guide the journey.

However, as Indonesia contemplates this potential shift, a broader philosophical reflection emerges. Governance structures, while crucial, are but tools in the hands of a nation's leaders and its people. The true essence of governance lies in the collective aspirations of a society, the shared dreams of its citizens, and the ethos that binds a nation together. While structures like the Zaken Cabinet model can enhance the mechanics of governance, the soul of administration rests in the values, principles, and visions that a nation holds dear.

In the annals of history, Indonesia has showcased resilience, adaptability, and a spirit of unity in diversity. Its journey has been one of overcoming challenges, celebrating successes, and continuously striving for a brighter future. The exploration of the Zaken Cabinet model, therefore, is but a chapter in this larger narrative, a testament to Indonesia's unwavering quest for excellence.

In conclusion, the path to strengthening Indonesia's cabinet system by embracing the Zaken Cabinet ethos is layered with opportunities, reflections, and choices. It beckons a future where technocratic prowess and representative democracy coalesce, forging a governance model that is both progressive and deeply rooted in Indonesia's unique identity. As the nation stands at this crossroads, it is poised not just for administrative reform but for a renewed era of governance that resonates with its past, addresses the challenges of the present, and is attuned to the aspirations of the future.

REFERENCES

Akhpanov, A., Ongarbaev, E., Tukiev, A., Omarbekova, M., & Kulbaeva, M. (2023). International Standards and Principles, Foreign Legislative Experience of Judicial Control in the Investigation Stage. Journal of Law and Sustainable Development, 11(10), e1788. https://doi.org/10.55908/sdgs.v11i10.1788

Akili, R. H., & Achmad, W. (2023). The Role of Political Parties in the Implementation of Democratic General Elections in the Indonesian State Administration System. Journal of Law and Sustainable Development, 11(4), e551. https://doi.org/10.55908/sdgs.v11i4.551

Bintoro, R. W., Dzuhaidah, N. D., Maryono, A. S., Sanyoto, S., & Kupita, W. (2022). Ontological Study of The Classification of People in The Transfer of Land Rights in Realizing Legal Certainty. Pandecta Research Law Journal.

Chandranegara, I. S., & Bakhri, S. (2021). Designing presidentialism cabinet under a multiparty system in Indonesia. International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change, 15(2).

Carey, P. (2008). The power of prophecy; Prince Dipanagara and the end of an old order in Java, 1785-1855 (p. 970). Brill.

Fakih, F. (2020). Expertise and National Planning. In Authoritarian Modernization in Indonesia's Early Independence Period (pp. 87-130). Brill.

Formichi, C. (2012). Building the Islamic state: From ideal to reality (1947-1949). In Islam and the Making of the Nation (pp. 109-143). Brill.

Formichi, C. (2012). Islam and the making of the nation: Kartosuwiryo and political Islam in twentieth-century Indonesia (p. 244). Brill.

Hakim, L. N. (2023). Islamism and the Making of Indonesia. In Islamism and the Quest for Hegemony in Indonesia (pp. 61-99). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.

Hidayat, A. S., Helmi, M. I., Arief, A., & Yunus, N. R. (2022). Proportionality of the Minister's Candidate By President in the Government System in Indonesia: 10.2478/bjlp-2022-004108. Baltic Journal of Law & Politics, 15(4), 1236-1257.

Isnawati, I., Setiawan, A., Hidayati, F., & Ismail, R. R. A. (2023). The Indonesian President's Prerogative Rights in the Appointment of Ministers After the Amendment to the 1945 Constitution. PADJADJARAN JURNAL ILMU HUKUM (JOURNAL OF LAW), 10(2), 254-274.

Izzati, H. N., Indra, M., & Junaidi, J. Karakteristik Sistem Parlementer Dalam Sistem Pemerintahan di Indonesia Pasca Amandemen Undang-undang Dasar Negara Republik Indonesia Tahun 1945 (Doctoral Dissertation, Riau University).

Kahin, G. M. (2003). Nationalism and revolution in Indonesia (No. 35). SEAP Publications.

Karim, A. (2018). Political Contestation, Political Elite Contestation of Balanipa Kingdom and Netherland Colonial Government in 1870-1905. IJPSLIR InternationalJournal of Political Science, Law and International Relations, 8(1), 1-10.

Kartika, D. A. (2016). The Politicization of Psychology: The Role of Psychologists in Indonesia's Detention.

Knoester, M. (2018). Framing the Black Pages of Dutch History: Depictions of the Indonesian Decolonization War and its Afterlife in Dutch Opinion Journals and Dutch Social Memory.

Lev, D. S. (1972). Islamic courts in Indonesia: A study in the political bases of legal institutions (Vol. 12). Univ of California Press.

MacKie, J. C. (2009). Problems of the Indonesian inflation. Equinox Publishing.

Purnama, D., Naufal, M., & Ahmad, K. M. (2021). Power Shifting and the Challenges of Presidential System in Indonesia (1945-2019). OISAA Journal of Indonesia Emas, 4(2), 77-87.

Reid, A. (1971). The birth of the Republic in Sumatra. Indonesia, (12), 21-46.

Ridho, M. A. (2023). Concurrent Ministerial Positions: Constitutional and Al-Mawardi Perspectives. JOURNAL OF INDONESIAN COMPARATIVE OF SYARIAH LAW, 6(1).

Rosada, D. (2017, November). Model of Democracy in Indonesia. In Third International Conference on Social and Political Sciences (ICSPS 2017) (pp. 102-105). Atlantis Press.

Salim, A. (2006). The influential legacy of Dutch Islamic policy on the formation of zakat (alms) law in modern Indonesia. Pac. Rim L. & Pol'y J., 15, 683.

Salim, A. (2008). Challenging the secular state: The Islamization of law in modern Indonesia. University of Hawaii Press.

Salim, H. (2019). The Indonesian Liberal Democracy. Beyond Political Skin, 119.

Satriawan, I., & Mokhtar, K. A. Democratic Consolidation in Indonesia after Political Reform in 1998: Its Developments and Issues.

Schrauwers, A. (2001). The "benevolent" colonies of Johannes van den Bosch: continuities in the administration of poverty in the Netherlands and Indonesia. Comparative Studies in Society and History, 43(2), 298-328.

Polontoh, H. M. P., & Liauw, Y. (2023). Constructing State Law amid Local Laws: A Shift in the Paradigm of Legal Transformation. Journal of Law and Sustainable Development, 11(2), e429-e429.

Pompe, S. (2005). The Indonesian Supreme Court: a study of institutional collapse (No. 39). SEAP Publications.

Talib, N. L., Utomo, A., Barnett, J., & Adhuri, D. S. (2022). Three centuries of marine governance in Indonesia: Path dependence impedes sustainability. Marine Policy, 143, 105171.

van den Bos, S. T. (2015). Military Justice in the Dutch East-Indies: A Study of the Theory and Practice of the Dutch Military-Legal Apparatus during the War of Indonesian Independence, 1945-1949 (Master's thesis).

Van Klinken, G. (2003). Ethnicity in Indonesia. In Ethnicity in Asia (pp. 88-111). Routledge.

Youssef, S., Ramadan, M., Baydoun, H., Zakhem, N. B., Tahan, S., Diab, M. B., ... & Yassine, D. (2023). Entrepreneurial Intentions in Crisis: Shaping Through the Triad of Influence-Government, Education, and Environment. Journal of Law and Sustainable Development, 11(6), e890-e890.

16

STRENGTHENING INDONESIA'S CABINET SYSTEM: EMBRACING THE ZAKEN CABINET